arXiv:1303.0004v1 [cs.IT] 28 Feb 2013

Construction of transitive MDS codes *

Denis S. Krotov and Vladimir V. Potapov

Sobolev Institute of Mathematics
Novosibirsk State University

Abstract

A set of vertices of the q-ary n-dimensional Hamming space is called a distance-2 MDS code if it has exactly one element in intersection with every line. By an isotopism we mean an isometric transformation of the Hamming space that independently permutes the symbols in every coordinate but does not change the coordinate order. A set M of vertices is called transitive if its automorphism group (i.e., the stabilizer of M in the space isometry group) acts transitively on M. A set M is called isotopically transitive if its autotopy group acts transitively on M. We call a set M topolinear (propelinear) if it can be equipped with a group operation whose left multiplication can be always extended to an isotopism (respectively, a space isometry).

It is proved that if the arity is even > 2 or divisible by a square of prime, then there exists a quasi-exponential (more tightly, exponential with respect to the square root of the space dimension) number of topolinear and, as a corollary, propelinear and isotopically transitive MDS codes. The set of isotopically transitive MDS codes in the 4-ary Hamming space is characterized.

Keywords: MDS code, propelinear code, automorphism group, transitive code.

We consider the one-error-detecting codes with the same parameters as the parity-check code, defined by the only check relation $x_1 + \ldots + x_n = 0$ over an arbitrary alphabet (equipped with a group structure). While the parity-check code is unique, there are a lot of nonequivalent codes with an alternative group, so-called propelinear [7], structure. We will show that their number is at least exponential for some nonprime cardinalities of the alphabet. In Section 1 we give definitions and some preliminar results; in Section 2 we consider examples of some related algebraic structures, so-called G-loops; in Section 3 we propose a construction of propelinear codes by composition; in Section 4 we consider a direct construction using quadratic functions.

1. Preliminaries

Let Q_q be a finite set of cardinality q; for convenience, we assume that $0 \in Q_q$. The set Q_q^n of n-tuples from Q_q with the Hamming distance is called a *q-ary n-dimensional Hamming space*. An *isotopism* is a transform $\overline{x} \mapsto \overline{\tau x}$, where $\overline{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in Q_q^n$, $\overline{\tau x} = (\tau_1 x_1, \ldots, \tau_n x_n)$, $\tau_i \in S_q$ are permutations of Q_q, $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. A *parastrophism* is a transform $\overline{x} \mapsto \overline{x}_\varepsilon$, where $\overline{x}_\varepsilon = (x_{\varepsilon^{-1}1}, \ldots, x_{\varepsilon^{-1}n})$, $\varepsilon \in S_n$ is a coordinate permutation. Denote $A_\varepsilon = \{\overline{x}_\varepsilon \mid \overline{x} \in A\}$, $\overline{\tau} A = \{\overline{\tau x} \mid \overline{x} \in A\}$. Define the group of autotopisms $\mathrm{Ist}(A) = \{\overline{\tau} \mid \overline{\tau} A = A\}$ and the group of

---

*The work was partially supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grants 11-01-00997, 10-01-00616) and the Federal Target Program "Scientific and Educational Personnel of Innovation Russia" for 2009–2013 (government contract 02.740.11.0362).

parastrophisms $\mathrm{Prs}(A) = \{\varepsilon \mid A_\varepsilon = A\}$, which map $A \subseteq Q_q^n$ to itself. It is well known that the group of isometries of Q_q^n is a semidirect product $\mathrm{Ist}(Q_q^n) \leftthreetimes \mathrm{Prs}(Q_q^n)$. The subgroup of the isometry group of Q_q^n that maps $A \subseteq Q_q^n$ to itself will be denoted $\mathrm{Aut}(A)$. We will say that two subsets A and B of Q_q^n are *equivalent* if B is the image of A under some isometry of the space.

A set $A \subseteq Q_q^n$ is called *transitive* if for every two vertices $\overline{x}, \overline{y}$ from A there exist a parastrophism $\varepsilon \in \mathrm{Prs}(Q_q^n)$ and an isotopism $\overline{\tau} \in \mathrm{Ist}(Q_q^n)$ such that $\overline{\tau}(\overline{x}_\varepsilon) = \overline{y}$ and $\overline{\tau}(A_\varepsilon) = A$; i.e., the group $\mathrm{Aut}(A)$ acts transitively on A. We call a set $A \subseteq Q_q^n$ *isotopically transitive* if $\mathrm{Ist}(A)$ acts transitively on A. Clearly, we can fix one vertex in the definition of the (isotopic) transitivity. In what follows we assume that the all-zero tuple $\overline{0}$ belongs to A and $\overline{x}$ will be fixed by $\overline{0}$. Note that for $q = 2$, the isotopically transitive sets are exactly the affine subspaces of Q_2^n, considered as a vector space over GF(2). A set $A \subseteq Q_q^n$ is called *propelinear* [7] if $\mathrm{Aut}(A)$ includes a regular subgroup, i.e., a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(A)$ of cardinality $|A|$ that acts transitively on A. We call a set $A \subseteq Q_q^n$ *topolinear* if $\mathrm{Ist}(A)$ includes a regular subgroup G_A.

The following statement is straightforward from the definitions.

Proposition 1. *A set $A \subset Q_q^n$, $\overline{0} \in A$, is propelinear with regular subgroup $G_A < \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ if and only if*

1) *for every $a \in A$ there exist a unique $\varphi_a \in G_A$ such that $\varphi_a(\overline{0}) = a$;*
2) *for every $a, b \in A$ the composition $\varphi_a \cdot \varphi_b$ belongs to G_A.*

A known and simple fact is that the propelinearity of a set is equivalent to the existence of a group over the set that is "in agree" in the right argument with the metric.

Proposition 2. *A set $A \subset Q_q^n$, $\overline{0} \in A$ is propelinear if and only if A can be accompanied by a binary operation $* : A^2 \to A$ satisfying*

1) *if $\varphi'_a(x) = a * x$, then φ'_a can be expanded to an isometry $\varphi_a \in \mathrm{Aut}(Q_q^n)$;*
2) *$a * \overline{0} = a$;*
3) *$*$ is an associative operation.*

A similar characterization (with replacing $\mathrm{Aut}(Q_q^n)$ by $\mathrm{Ist}(Q_q^n)$) takes place for the topolinear sets.

Let A be a subset of Q_q^n. By a *subcode* R of A, we will mean a subset Q_q^{n-m} obtained from A by fixing m coordinates.

Proposition 3.

1) *The subcodes of an isotopically transitive set are isotopically transitive.*
2) *The subcodes of a topolinear set are topolinear.*

Proof. 1) Let $A \subseteq Q_q^n$ be an isotopically transitive set. Without loss of generality we can assume that $R = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{n-m}, 0, \dots, 0) \mid (x_1, \dots, x_{n-m}, 0, \dots, 0) \in A\}$. Let $\overline{\tau} = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \in \mathrm{Ist}(A)$, $\overline{x} \in R$ and $\overline{\tau}\overline{x} = \overline{0}$. Then $\tau_{n-m+1}(0) = \ldots = \tau_n(0) = 0$; hence, $\overline{\tau} \in \mathrm{Ist}(R)$.

2) is similar. ▲

For two sets $A \subseteq Q_q^n$ and $B \subseteq Q_p^n$, their Cartesian product $A \times B \subseteq Q_{pq}^n$ is defined as $A \times B = \{((a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_n, b_n)) \mid (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A, (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in B\}$.

Proposition 4. *Let $A \subseteq Q_q^n$ and $B \subseteq Q_p^n$ are isotopically transitive (topolinear) sets. Then the set $A \times B \subseteq Q_{pq}^n$ is isotopically transitive (topolinear).*

For the transitive sets in general, similar statements (Propositions 3 and 4) do not hold.

A set $M \subset Q_q^n$ is called an *MDS code* (with code distance 2) of length n if $|M| = q^{n-1}$ and the distance between any two different code vertices is at least 2. A function $f : Q_q^n \to Q_q$ is called an *n-ary quasigroup of order q* if $f(\overline{x}) \neq f(\overline{y})$ holds for every two neighbor vertices $\overline{x}, \overline{y} \in Q_q^n$ $(d(\overline{x}, \overline{y}) = 1)$. It is not difficult to see that every MDS code $M \subset Q_q^{n+1}$ can be represented as the graph of some n-ary quasigroup. Moreover, for every two quasigroups f and g the set $\{(\overline{x},\overline{y}) \mid f(\overline{x}) = g(\overline{y})\}$ is an MDS code. We call an n-ary quasigroup transitive (topolinear) if its graph is a transitive (topolinear) MDS code. n-Ary quasigroups are *equivalent* if their graphs are equivalent. Clearly, two equivalent n-ary quasigroups are or are not transitive (topolinear) simultaneously.

It is easy to see that every group is a topolinear 2-ary quasigroup. If there exist an element $o \in Q_q$ such that $f(x,o) = f(o,x) = x$ for every $x \in Q_q$, then the 2-ary quasigroup f is called a *loop* and o is called an *identity element*. Trivially, a loop has only one identity element.

Two binary quasigroups (loops) f and g are called *isomorphic* to each other if $f(x,y) = \tau^{-1}g(\tau x, \tau y)$ for some permutation $\tau : Q_q \to Q_q$. A loop f is called a *G-loop* if every loop isotopic to f is isomorphic to f.

Proposition 5. *A loop is isotopically transitive if and only if it is a G-loop.*

Proof. Let f be a G-loop. Without loss of generality assume 0 is its identity element. Consider arbitrary $a, b \in Q_q$. To find an autotopism that sends $(0,0)$ to (a,b), we will firstly choose an isotopism of f to a loop f'' with identity element 0 such that $(0,0)$ is mapped to (a,b); and then, we will apply an isomorphism of f'' to f.

Take arbitrary permutations ξ and ψ of Q_q that send 0 to a and, respectively, b; and take an arbitrary permutation φ that sends $f(a,b)$ to 0. To be definite, let ξ, ψ, φ be the transpositions that interchange 0 and a, 0 and b, $f(a,b)$ and 0, respectively. Denote $f'(x,y) = \varphi f(\xi x, \psi y)$, $\xi_0 x = f'(x,0)$, and $\psi_0 y = f'(0,y)$. Then $f''(x,y) = f'(\xi_0^{-1}x, \psi_0^{-1}y)$ is a loop with identity element 0. By the definition of a G-loop, we have $f(x,y) \equiv \tau^{-1}f''(\tau x, \tau y)$ for some τ. Since τ must send the identity element of f to the identity element of f'', it fixes 0. Finally, $f(x,y) \equiv \tau^{-1}\varphi f(\xi\xi_0^{-1}\tau x, \psi\psi_0^{-1}\tau y)$, where $\xi\xi_0^{-1}\tau 0 = a$, $\psi\psi_0^{-1}\tau 0 = b$. So, we have found an autotopism that sends $(0,0)$ to (a,b); hence, f is isotopically transitive.

Now assume that f is an isotopically transitive loop with identity element 0. Consider and arbitrary isotopism $\overline{\tau}$ such that $\overline{\tau}f$ is a loop. Without loss of generality we may assume that 0 is the identity element of $\overline{\tau}f$ (otherwise we consider an isomorphic loop satisfying this condition). Denote by (a,b) the preimage of $(0,0)$ under $\overline{\tau}$. By the definition of isotopical transitivity, there is an autotopism $\overline{\pi}$ of f that sends $(0,0)$ to (a,b). Then, $\overline{\tau}\overline{\pi}$ is an isotopism of f to $\overline{\tau}f$ that fixes $(0,0)$. Since 0 is an identity element of both f and $\overline{\tau}f$, such isotopism must be an isomorphism. Hence, f is a G-loop. ▲

As shown in [8], if q is prime, then every G-loop of prime order is a cyclic group; a similar result for order $3p$, $p > 3$ prime, was established in [5]. On the other hand, non-group G-loops are known to exist for all even orders lager 5 and all orders divisible by p^2 for some $p > 2$ [3].

2. G-loops: examples

Let us consider some examples of groups and G-loops of order $2p$, which will be used in the construction in the next section. We set $Q_{2p} = \{0_0, 1_0, \ldots, (p-1)_0, 0_1, 1_1, \ldots, (p-1)_1\}$.

1. The group $Z_p \times Z_2$ with the operation $x_\zeta + y_\xi = (x+y)_{(\zeta\oplus\xi)}$.
2. The *dihedral* group D_p with the operation $x_\zeta \circ y_\xi = ((-1)^\xi x + y)_{(\zeta\oplus\xi)}$.
3. The loop C_p with the operation $x_\zeta * y_\xi = ((-1)^\xi x + y + \zeta\xi)_{(\zeta\oplus\xi)}$ [9].

Here $+$ is the modulo p addition, $\oplus$ is the modulo 2 addition.

Proposition 6. *The loop C_p is isotopically transitive.*

Proof. The statement is a direct consequence of Proposition 5 and the fact that C_p is a G-loop [9], but we will give explictite formulas. Consider a sequence of automorphisms of the MDS code $M = \{(x_\zeta, y_\xi, z_\psi) \mid x_\zeta * y_\xi = z_\psi\}$, which send an arbitrary vertex $(a_\alpha, b_\beta, c_\gamma) \in M$ to $(0_0, 0_0, 0_0)$.

$$x_\zeta \mapsto ((-1)^\beta x + \zeta\beta)_\zeta, \qquad y_\xi \mapsto y_{\xi\oplus\beta}, \qquad z_\psi \mapsto z_{\psi\oplus\beta}. \tag{1}$$

To prove that (1) is an autotopism of M, we use the equality $\beta(-1)^{\xi\oplus\beta} + \xi \oplus \beta = \xi$. The autotopism (1) sends $(a_\alpha, b_\beta, c_\gamma)$ to $(a'_\alpha, b_0, c_{\gamma\oplus\beta})$, where $a' = (-1)^\beta a + \alpha\beta$.

$$x_\zeta \mapsto (x - (-1)^{\zeta\oplus\alpha} a')_\zeta, \qquad y_\xi \mapsto (y - b)_\xi, \qquad z_\psi \mapsto (z - (-1)^{\psi\oplus\alpha} a' - b)_\psi. \tag{2}$$

The autotopism (2) sends $(a'_\alpha, b_0, c_{\gamma\oplus\beta})$ to $(0_\alpha, 0_0, 0_\alpha)$.

$$x_\zeta \mapsto ((-1)^\alpha x)_{\zeta\oplus\alpha}, \qquad y_\xi \mapsto ((-1)^\alpha y - \alpha\xi)_\xi, \qquad z_\psi \mapsto ((-1)^\alpha z)_{\psi\oplus\alpha}. \tag{3}$$

To prove that (3) is an autotopism of M, we use the equality $\alpha \oplus \zeta - \alpha = (-1)^\alpha \zeta$. The autotopism (3) sends $(0_\alpha, 0_0, 0_\alpha)$ to $(0_0, 0_0, 0_0)$. ▲

The group generated by the autotopisms (1), (2), (3) with all possible $a, b \in Q_p$, $\alpha, \beta \in Q_2$ will be denoted by IC_p.

Proposition 7. *Let $M \subseteq Q_q^n$ be an isotopically transitive MDS code. Let $G < \mathrm{Ist}(M)$ be an isotopism group that acts transitively on M and satisfies the following condition: for every $\tau, \pi \in G$ the equation $\tau(\overline{0}) = \pi(\overline{0})$ implies $\pi_1 = \tau_1$. Then M is topolinear with regular group G.*

Proof. Consider $\sigma = \tau\pi^{-1} \in G$. By the hypothesis, $\sigma_1 = \mathrm{id}$ and $\sigma(\overline{0}) = \overline{0}$. Let us show that $\sigma_i = \mathrm{id}$. For every $b_i \in Q_q$ there exists $b_1 \in Q_q$ such that $b = (b_1, 0, \dots, 0, b_i, 0 \dots, 0) \in M$. Then, $\sigma(b) = (b_1, 0, \dots, 0, \sigma_i(b_i), 0 \dots, 0) \in M$. By the definition of an MDS code, we have $\sigma_i(b_i) = b_i$. Hence, $\tau = \pi$; i.e., the subgroup G is regular. ▲

Applying Proposition 7 to the group $G = IC_p$ and the second argument, y, of C_p, we can see the following:

Corollary 1. *The loop C_p is topolinear.*

3. Composition

If $\circ$ is a group operation over Q_q, then an n-ary quasigroup $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 \circ \ldots \circ x_n$ is known as an *iterated group*.

Proposition 8. *An iterated group is a topolinear quasigroup.*

Proof. Let $\cdot$ be a group operation. On the set $M = \{\overline{x} \in Q_q^n \mid x_1 \cdot \dots \cdot x_n = 0\}$, we define the operation $x * y = (x_1 \circ y_1, y_1^{-1} \circ x_2 \circ y_1 \circ y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}^{-1} \circ \dots \circ y_1^{-1} \circ x_n \circ y_1 \circ \dots y_n)$. It is not difficult to show that the operation $*$ meets the hypothesis of Proposition 2. ▲

The following is straightforward from the definition of an iterated group.

Proposition 9. *Let h be an m-ary iterated group. Then*

1) for every $\overline{b} \in Q_q^n$ satisfying $h(\overline{b}) = 0$ there exists an isotopism $\overline{\theta}$ such that $\overline{\theta b} = \overline{0}$ and $h(\overline{\theta z}) \equiv h(\overline{z})$;

2) an MDS code $M = \{(x, \overline{z}) \mid x = h(\overline{z})\}$ satisfies the hypothesis of Proposition 7.

The following lemma generalizes [6, Proposition 8].

Lemma 1. *Assume that*

a) h_i, $i \in \{1,\ldots,n\}$, are m_i-ary iterated groups;

b) f is an isotopically transitive n-ary quasigroup with the autotopy group G_f;

c) for every $i \in \{1,\ldots,n\}$ and $\overline{\sigma} \in G_f$ there exists an isotopism $\overline{\tau}_i \in S_{n_i}$ such that $h_i(\overline{\tau}_i\overline{z_i}) = \sigma_i h(\overline{z_i})$, where $\overline{z_i}$ is a tuple from n_i variables.

Then the m-ary quasigroup $f(h_1(\overline{z_1}),\ldots,h_n(\overline{z_n}))$, where $m = m_1+\ldots+m_n$, is isotopically transitive.

Proof. Consider an arbitrary tuple $b_0,\overline{b}_1,\ldots,\overline{b}_n$ satisfying $f(h_1(\overline{b}_1),\ldots,h_n(\overline{b}_n)) = b_0$. There exists an isotopism $\sigma \in G_f$ such that $\sigma_0 b_0 = 0$ and $\sigma_i h_i(\overline{b}_i) = 0$ for all $i \in \{1,\ldots,n\}$. We have

$$\sigma_0 f(h_1(\overline{\tau}_1\overline{z_1}),\ldots,h_n(\overline{\tau}_n\overline{z_n})) \equiv \sigma_0 f(\sigma_1 h_1(\overline{z_1}),\ldots,\sigma_n h_n(\overline{z_n})) \equiv f(h_1(\overline{z_1}),\ldots,h_n(\overline{z_n})).$$

So, we have got an isotopism that sends $b_0,\overline{b}_1,\ldots,\overline{b}_n$ to $0,\overline{\tau}_1\overline{b}_1,\ldots,\overline{\tau}_n\overline{b}_n$.

By Proposition 9, for every $i \in \{1,\ldots,n\}$ there exists an isotopism $\overline{\theta_i}$ such that $\overline{\theta_i}\overline{\tau}_i\overline{b}_i = \overline{0}$ and $h_i(\overline{\theta_i}\overline{z_i}) \equiv h_i(\overline{z_i})$. So, we have got an isotopism that sends $0,\overline{\tau}_1\overline{b}_1,\ldots,\overline{\tau}_n\overline{b}_n$ to $\overline{0}$. ▲

Theorem 1. *Let f be the loop C_p or an n-ary quasigroup obtained by iterating the group $Z_p \times Z_2$; and let the m_i-ary quasigroups h_i, $i \in \{1,\ldots,n\}$ be iterated D_p. Then the MDS code $M = \{(x,\overline{z_1},\ldots,\overline{z_n}) \mid x = f(h_1(\overline{z_1}),\ldots,h_n(\overline{z_n}))\}$ is isotopically transitive.*

Proof. It is sufficient to check that the hypothesis of the lemma are satisfied. Conditions a) and b) come from Corollary 1, Proposition 8. Consider the case of f being C_p. For the autotopisms (1), (2), (3) (Proposition 6) and the group D_p, the condition c) of the lemma is checked directly.

The case when f is an iterated $Z_p \times Z_2$ is similar. As follows from Propositions 7 and 9, the MDS code M is topolinear in this case. ▲

Corollary 2. *For every integer $p \geq 2$, the number of mutually nonequivalent topolinear N-ary quasigroups of order $2p$ growths at least as $\frac{1}{4N\sqrt{3}}e^{\pi\sqrt{2N/3}}(1+o(1))$.*

Proof. As follows from the theorem on canonical decomposition of an n-ary quasigroup [2], two MDS codes M, M' obtained from different sets $\{m_1,\ldots,m_n\}$, $\{m'_1,\ldots,m'_{n'}\}$ as in Theorem 1 are nonequivalent. So, (permutably) nonequivalent partitions $N = m_1+\ldots+m_n$ lead to nonequivalent codes. The number of nonequivalent partitions is known to be $\frac{1}{4N\sqrt{3}}e^{\pi\sqrt{2N/3}}(1+o(1))$ [1]. ▲

4. Topolinear codes from quadratic functions

In this section we consider Let $q = p^k$, where p is prime. We will assume that Q_q is equipped with the structure of the field $GF(p^k)$ and that $Q_{q^2} = Q_q \times Q_q$ consists of the pairs (a,b) of elements of Q_q. Let

$$r(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \alpha_{ij}x_ix_j + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i(x_i),$$

where $\beta_i : Q_q \to Q_q$ are arbitrary functions.

Theorem 2. *Assume that the set $M \subset Q_{q^2}^n$ is defined by the following equation system*

$$((x_1,y_1),\ldots,(x_n,y_n)) \in M \Leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0; \\ \sum_{i=1}^n y_i + r(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = 0. \end{array} \right.$$

Then M is a topolinear MDS code.

Proof. We will firstly prove that M is an MDS code. It is sufficient to show that arbitrarily fixing $n-1$ coordinates uniquely defines the remaining coordinate of a vertex from M. Indeed, the first equation defines the remaining x_i; the second equation, y_i.

Without loss of generality we assume that $\overline{0} \in M$ and $\beta_i(0) = 0$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Now establish the isotopical transitivity of M. Let $((a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_n, b_n)) \in M$, $a_i, b_i \in Q_q^n$. Then the isotopism

$$\begin{aligned} ((x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)) &\to ((\sigma_1(x_1), \tau_1(y_1)), \dots, (\sigma_n(x_n), \tau_n(y_n))) : \\ \sigma_i(x_i) &= x_i - a_i, \\ \tau_i(y_i) &= y_i + x_i \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{ij} a_j + x_i \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{ji} a_j - \beta_i(x_i - a_i) + \beta_i(x_i) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{ij} a_j a_i \end{aligned}$$

belongs to the group $\mathrm{Ist}(M)$ and sends $((a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_n, b_n)) \in M$ to $((0, \tau_1 b_1), \dots, (0, \tau_n b_n)) \in M$. The isotopism $\sigma'_i(x_i) = x_i$, $\tau'_i(y_i) = y_i - c_i$ is contained in $\mathrm{Ist}(M)$ and sends $((0, c_1), \dots, (0, c_n)) \in M$ to $\overline{0}$.

By Propositions 7 and 9, M is topolinear. ▲

It is easy to see that nonequivalent quadratic forms $\sum_{i,j} \alpha_{ij} x_i x_j$ result in nonequivalent MDS codes.

Let $M \subset Q_{q^2}^n$ be an MDS code constructed in Theorem 2. As follows from Proposition 8, for every $n, s \geq 2$, there is a topolinear MDS code $M \in Q_s^n$ generated by some (say, the cyclic) group of order s. By Proposition 4, $M \times S \subset Q_{q^2}^n \times Q_s^n \simeq Q_{q^2 s}^n$ is a topolinear MDS code. Then Theorem 2 gives the following lower bound on the number of topolinear MDS codes.

Corollary 3. *Let $q = p^k$, where p is prime and $s \geq 1$. Then $Q_{q^2 s}^n$ includes at least $q^{\binom{n}{2}(1+o(1))}$ mutually nonequivalent topolinear MDS codes, as $n \to \infty$.*

Now consider the case $q = 2$.

Theorem 3. *An MDS code $M \subset Q_{2^2}^n$ is isotopically transitive if and only if it is isotopic to a code defined by the equation system*

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = 0; \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i + r(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

where r is a Boolean function of degree at most 2. (Since the arguments x_i are not independent, a polynomial representation of r is not unique, and by the degree of r we mean the minimal degree among such representations.)

Proof. By Theorem 2, the MDS code M is isotopically transitive. Let us show that there are no other codes.

An MDS code is called *semilinear* if it is isotopic to a code (4) with an arbitrary r. The paper [4] contains a characterization of the MDS codes in Q_4^n. In particular, the following is true.

1) Any non-semilinear MDS code has a subcode of length 4 that is isotopic to

$$H = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \mid x_1 \star x_2 = x_3 \diamond x_4\},$$

where $\star$ and $\diamond$ are group operations equivalent to Z_4 with the same identity element but different elements of order 2.

It can be checked directly that the non-semilinear MDS code H is not isotopically transitive. Hence, the non-semilinear MDS codes are not isotopically transitive by Proposition 3.

2) There are 4 isotopy classes of semilinear MDS codes of length 4, with the Boolean functions

$$\begin{aligned} r_1(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4) &\equiv 0, \\ r_2(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4) &\equiv x_1x_2 \oplus x_3x_4, \\ r_3(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4) &\equiv x_1x_2, \\ r_4(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4) &\equiv x_1x_2x_3, \end{aligned}$$

respectively.

It is not difficult to see that the semilinear MDS code S_4 with the function r_4 is not isotopically transitive. Any semilinear MDS code with function r of degree at least 3 has a subcode isotopic to S_4. Hence, such codes are not isotopically transitive by Proposition 3. ▲

References

1. G. E. Andrews. *The Theory of Partitions*, volume 2 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Addison-Wesley, London, 1976.

2. A. V. Cheremushkin. Canonical decomposition of n-ary quasigroups. In *Issledovanie Operatsyj i Kvazigrupp*, volume 102 of *Mat. Issled.*, pages 97–105. Shtiintsa, Kishinev, 1988. In Russian.

3. E. G. Goodaire and D. A. Robinson. A class of loops which are isomorphic to all loop isotopes. *Can. J. Math.*, 34(3):662–672, 1882. DOI: 10.4153/CJM-1982-043-2.

4. D. S. Krotov and V. N. Potapov. n-Ary quasigroups of order 4. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 23(2):561–570, 2009. DOI: 10.1137/070697331.

5. K. Kunen. G-loops and permutation groups. *J. Algebra*, 220(2):694–708, 1999. DOI: 10.1006/jabr.1999.8006.

6. V. N. Potapov. A lower bound for the number of transitive perfect codes. *J. Appl. Ind. Math.*, 1(3):373–379, 2007. DOI: 10.1134/S199047890703012X translated from Diskretn. Anal. Issled. Oper., Ser. 1, 13(4):49-59, 2006.

7. J. Rifà, J. M. Basart, and L. Huguet. On completely regular propelinear codes. In *Applied Algebra, Algebraic Algorithms and Error-Correcting Codes, 6th Int. Conference, AAECC-6 Rome, Italy, July 4–8, 1988 Proceedings*, volume 357 of *Lect. Notes Comput. Sci.*, pages 341–355. Springer-Verlag, 1989. DOI: 10.1007/3-540-51083-4_71.

8. R. L. Wilson, Jr. Isotopy-isomorphy loops of prime order. *J. Algebra*, 31(1):117–119, 1974. DOI: 10.1016/0021-8693(74)90008-8.

9. R. L. Wilson, Jr. Quasidirect products of quasigroups. *Commun. Algebra*, 3(9):835–850, 1975. DOI: 10.1080/00927877508822075.